

CORPORATE PRESENTATION

AUGUST 2026

FORWARD LOOKING INFORMATION

This investor presentation contains certain statements, which may constitute “forward-looking information” under Canadian securities law requirements and “forward looking statements” under applicable securities laws (“forward-looking information”). All statements other than statements of historical fact contained in this presentation, including, but not limited to, statements with respect to the future financial position and results of operations, strategy, plans, objectives, goals and targets, anticipated commencement dates of mining or metal production operations, projected quantities of future metal production, anticipated production rates and mine life, operating efficiencies, costs and expenditures and conversion of mineral resources to reserves of GoGold Resources Inc. (“GoGold” or the “Company”), may constitute forward-looking information.

Forward-looking information can be identified by the use of words such as “could”, “expect”, “believe”, “will”, “may”, “intend”, “plan”, “estimate”, “anticipate”, “predict”, “project” and similar expressions and statements relating to matters that are not historical facts. Forward-looking information involves known and unknown risks and uncertainties and other factors, including those described under the heading “Risk Factors” in the annual information form of GoGold dated December 11, 2024 and in documents incorporated by reference therein, which may cause the actual results, performance or achievements of the Company to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking information. These factors include, among others, price volatility of gold, silver and other commodities; volatility of commodity prices and other input costs; mining industry operational hazards and environment concerns; uncertainty of estimates of mineral resources and mineral reserves; requirements for additional financing which may not be available; changes in political conditions or governmental policies and political and financial instability in México; government regulation and requirements for permits and licenses and competition.

Forward-looking information is based on assumptions that the Company believes to be reasonable. Key assumptions upon which the Company’s forward-looking information is based include, but are not limited to: that the price of gold and silver will not decline significantly nor for a lengthy period of time; that the Company will have sufficient working capital and be able to secure additional funding necessary for the continued operation, exploration and development of the Company’s property interests; and that key personnel will continue their employment with the Company.

Although the Company has attempted to identify important factors that could cause actual actions, events or results to differ materially from those described in forward-looking information, there may be other factors that cause actions, events or results not to be as anticipated, estimated or intended. There can be no assurance that forward-looking information will prove to be accurate, as actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such statements. Accordingly, readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking information. The forward-looking information in this presentation is made as of August 17, 2026 and the Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking information, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, other than where a duty to update such information or provide further disclosure is imposed by applicable law.

Mr. David Duncan, P. Geo. is the qualified person as defined by National Instrument 43-101 and is responsible for the technical information in this presentation related to Los Ricos North and Los Ricos South.

Mr. Robert Harris, P.Eng. is the qualified person as defined by National Instrument 43-101 and is responsible for the technical information in this presentation related to Parral.

EXPERIENCED MANAGEMENT TEAM

Dana Hatfield
CFO


Brad Langille
President & CEO
Co-Founder, Major Shareholder

Anis Nehme
COO

Previously Managed Companies

 Gammon Gold Inc.


Market Cap:
\$0



Market Cap:
\$22 Billion

 mexgold
Mexgold Resources Inc.


Market Cap:
\$5 Million



Sold for:
\$375 Million

 NAYARIT
GOLD INC.


Market Cap:
\$40 Million



Market Cap:
\$80 Million

 GoGold
SILVER & GOLD

Market Cap:
\$0



Current Market Cap
~\$1.5 Billion


CAPITAL STRUCTURE

Basic Shares Outstanding	434 million
Warrants Outstanding	27 million
Options and DSUs Outstanding	<u>14 million</u>
Fully Diluted	475 million
Cash	US \$284 million
Mexico Government receivable	<u>US \$11 million</u>
Available funds	US \$295 million
Debt	None
Approx. Insider Ownership	20%

Institutional Holders

- Van Eck Associates
- Franklin Templeton
- Sprott Asset Management
- Mirae Asset Global Investments
- GAM Holdings
- CI Financial
- ASA Gold and Precious Metals
- Dimensional Fund Advisors
- MMCAP
- Konwave
- Palisades Goldcorp
- Waratah Capital
- Commodity Capital
- Ixios
- Extract Advisors
- Pala Investments
- XIB Financial

Share Price



ENVIRONMENTAL, SUSTAINABILITY, GOVERNANCE (ESG)

20
25 | Sustainability
and ESG
Report

 **GoGold**
SILVER & GOLD



Awarded the ESR distinction (Empresa Socialmente Responsables – Corporate Social Responsibility) for sixth year.

ELSSA distinction from the Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social (IMSS), a public healthcare and social security institution in Mexico, for the fourth time.

GoGold was recognized with the VELAVO Award from the Ministry of Labor and Social Welfare (STPS), in recognition of its outstanding practices in labor matters and organizational well-being, for promoting fair, safe work environments oriented to the integral development of people.

\$20.2M spent in local purchases, including \$570K in social investment.

Social impacts in nearby communities include donation of a recreational park in Parral, sports teams for children, rehabilitation of a community centre and construction of a community poultry farm.

GoGold PROPERTIES



Status: Sold

- Purchased by Agnico Eagle Mines
- Total of \$20m invested, sold for \$95m 3 years later.


Status: In Production

- Production
 - 2025 – 2.1M AgEq
 - 2024 – 1.5M AgEq
 - 2023 – 1.5M AgEq
 - 2022 – 1.8M AgEq
 - 2021 – 2.2M AgEq
 - 2020 – 2.3M AgEq
 - 2019 – 1.8M AgEq

Status: Development

- Acquired in March 2019
- LR South initial resource – July 2020
- LR South initial \$295M PEA – Jan 2021
- LR North initial resource – Dec 2021
- LR North initial \$413M PEA – May 2023
- LR South feasibility study \$355M – Jan 2025

Los Ricos



LOS RICOS SOUTH MINERAL RESOURCE

Mining Method	Category	Tonnes	Average Grade					Contained Metal				
			Ag	Au	Cu	AuEq	AgEq	Ag	Au	Cu	AuEq	AgEq
		(M)	(g/t)	(g/t)	(%)	(g/t)	(g/t)	(koz)	(koz)	(Mlb)	(koz)	(koz)
Pit Constrained ⁵	Measured	2.9	150	1.13	0.03	2.96	250	14,065	106	1.7	278	23,446
	Indicated	2.0	107	0.74	0.03	2.07	174	6,747	47	1.4	130	10,974
	M&I	4.9	133	0.97	0.03	2.60	219	20,812	153	3.1	408	34,420
	Inferred	0.7	108	0.66	0.03	2.00	168	2,552	16	0.5	47	3,979
Pit - Cerro C ⁶	Indicated	0.6	43	0.90	0.01	1.43	121	787	17	0.1	26	2,243
	Inferred	0.3	34	0.87	0.01	1.28	109	377	10	0.1	14	1,217
Out-of-Pit ^{7,8}	Measured	2.4	218	2.00	0.14	4.79	405	17,025	156	7.5	373	31,567
	Indicated	3.1	187	2.25	0.26	4.80	407	18,525	223	17.4	476	40,331
	M&I	5.5	201	2.14	0.20	4.79	406	35,550	379	24.9	849	71,898
	Inferred	1.1	127	1.48	0.51	3.61	306	4,544	53	12.4	130	10,995
Total	Measured	5.3	181	1.53	0.08	3.79	320	31,090	262	9.2	651	55,013
	Indicated	5.6	144	1.59	0.15	3.50	296	26,059	287	18.9	632	53,549
	M&I	11.0	162	1.56	0.12	3.64	308	57,150	549	28.1	1,284	108,562
	Inferred	2.2	106	1.11	0.27	2.70	229	7,473	79	13.0	191	16,191

- 1) Mineral Resources, which are not Mineral Reserves, do not have demonstrated economic viability. The estimate of Mineral Resources may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-political, marketing, or other relevant issues.
- 2) The Inferred Mineral Resource in this estimate has a lower level of confidence than that applied to an Indicated Mineral Resource and must not be converted to a Mineral Reserve. It is reasonably expected that the majority of the Inferred Mineral Resource could be upgraded to an Indicated Mineral Resource with continued exploration.
- 3) The Mineral Resources were estimated in accordance with the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum (CIM), CIM Standards on Mineral Resources and Reserves, Definitions and Guidelines (2014) prepared by the CIM Standing Committee on Reserve Definitions and adopted by the CIM Council and CIM Best Practices Guidelines (2019) and have an effective date of January 14, 2025.
- 4) Historically mined areas were depleted from the Mineral Resource model.
- 5) The pit-constrained AgEq cut-off grade of 40 g/t was derived from \$1,850/oz Au price, \$23.75/oz Ag price, 86% Ag and 95% Au process recovery, \$28/tonne process and G&A cost. The constraining pit optimization parameters were \$2.10/t mineralized material and waste mining cost, and 45-degree pit slopes.
- 6) The Cerro Colorado Mineral Resource was constrained to open pit mining methods only; Out-of-pit Mineral Resources are restricted to the Eagle and Abra mineralized veins, which exhibit historical continuity and reasonable potential for extraction by cut and fill and longhole underground mining methods.
- 7) The out-of-pit AgEq cut-off grade of 130 g/t Ag was derived from \$1,850/oz Au price, \$23.75/oz Ag price, 86% Ag and 95% Au process plant recovery, \$30/tonne process and G&A cost, and a \$60/tonne mining cost. The out-of-pit Mineral Resource grade blocks were quantified above a 130 g/t AgEq cut-off, below the constraining pit shell and within the constraining mineralized wireframes. Out-of-Pit Mineral Resources are restricted to the Eagle and Abra Veins, which exhibit historical continuity and reasonable potential for extraction by cut and fill and longhole mining methods.
- 8) AgEq and AuEq were calculated at an Ag/Au ratio of 86:1 for pit-constrained and out-of-pit Mineral Resources.
- 9) Totals may not sum due to rounding.

LOS RICOS SOUTH MINERAL RESERVE

Classification	Tonnage	Average Grade				Contained Metal			
		Ag	Au	Cu	AgEq	Ag	Au	Cu	AgEq
	(kt)	(g/t)	(g/t)	(%)	(g/t)	(koz)	(koz)	(Mlb)	(koz)
Underground									
Proven	3,902	187.1	1.61	0.09	334.3	23,472	202	7.5	41,939
Probable	3,611	152.0	1.70	0.18	317.8	17,647	197	14.6	36,895
Subtotal Underground	7,512	170.2	1.65	0.13	326.4	41,119	399	22.1	78,834
Open Pit									
Proven	580	94.8	0.72	0.02	159.0	1,768	13	0.3	2,965
Probable	2,140	72.1	0.64	0.03	129.7	4,961	44	1.4	8,924
Subtotal Open Pit	2,720	76.9	0.66	0.02	135.9	6,728	57	1.7	11,889
Total									
Proven	4,482	175.2	1.49	0.08	311.6	25,240	215	7.7	44,904
Probable	5,751	122.3	1.31	0.13	247.8	22,607	241	16.1	45,819
Total Proven & Probable	10,233	145.4	1.39	0.10	275.7	47,847	457	23.8	90,723

- 1) Mineral Reserves are based on Measured and Indicated Mineral Resource Classifications only.
- 2) Mineral Reserves are reported using the 2014 CIM Definition Standards and 2019 Best Practices Guidelines and have an effective date of January 14, 2025.
- 3) Mineral Reserves are defined within mine plans and incorporate mining dilution and ore losses.
- 4) Open Pit Mineral Reserves are based on metal prices of \$23.75/oz Ag, \$1,850/oz Au and \$4.00/lb Cu, and are constrained within optimized pit shells and designs that use 45-48° overall wall slopes, and process recoveries of 86% Ag, 95% Au and 51% Cu.
- 5) An Open Pit cut-off grade of 46.4 g/t AgEq is estimated to differentiate ore from waste and is based on cost assumptions of \$26.22/t processing, \$4.11/t site general and administrative, and 0.5% government mining tax on net revenue. Mining costs are estimated at \$2.10/t of ore and waste rock.
- 6) Underground Mineral Reserves are based on metal prices of \$23.75/oz Ag, \$1,850/oz Au and \$4.00/lb Cu, and are constrained within a mine design, and use process plant recoveries of 86% Ag, 95% Au and 77% Cu.
- 7) An Underground marginal cut-off grade of 150 g/t AgEq is estimated to differentiate ore from waste, and is based on cost assumptions of \$34.93/t processing, \$4.46/t site general and administrative, and mining costs of \$41.93/t. An Underground economic cut-off grade of 210 g/t AgEq is estimated to account for capital development costs of \$53.86/t in addition to those used to calculate the marginal cut-off grade.
- 8) Totals may not sum due to rounding.

LOS RICOS SOUTH FEASIBILITY STUDY – HIGHLIGHTS

After-Tax net present value (“NPV”) (5%) of US\$355 million with an After-Tax IRR of 28% (Base Case);

At a silver price of \$41/oz and gold price of \$3,580/oz, NPV (5%) of US\$862 million with an After-Tax IRR of 52%;

15-year mine life producing a total of 80 million payable AgEq Oz, consisting of 41 Moz Ag, 424 Koz Au, 11Mlb Cu

Initial capital costs of \$227 million, including \$21 million in contingency costs, over an expected two year build, and sustaining capital costs of \$100 million over the life of mine;

Average operating cash costs of \$9.94/oz AgEq, and AISC of \$11.19/oz AgEq over first 5 years of production, with average AISC of \$12.32/oz AgEq over the underground mine life;

Average annual production of 7.3 million AgEq oz over first 5 years;

Successful conversion of Mineral Resources to Proven and Probable Mineral Reserves totalling 10.2 million tonnes grading 276 g/t AgEq containing 91 Moz AgEq, including 7.5 million underground tonnes grading 326 g/t AgEq;

Average underground mining width of 11 metres using bulk mining method of longitudinal sub-level long-hole mining;

LOS RICOS DISTRICT – METAL SENSITIVITY

Los Ricos South	Base	Upside			
Silver	26.80	40	60	80	100
Gold	2,330	3,500	4,300	4,700	5,000
After tax NPV (5%)	355	828	1,354	1,803	2,232
After tax IRR (%)	28	50.1	70.5	85.9	99.4
After tax Payback (Yrs)	2.6	1.5	1.2	1.0	0.9

Los Ricos South – Sensitivity based on Feb 2025 Feasibility Study

Los Ricos North	Base	Upside			
Silver	23.00	40	60	80	100
Gold	1,800	3,500	4,300	4,700	5,000
After tax NPV (5%)	413	1135	1864	2553	3232
After tax IRR (%)	29.1	64.1	93.8	118.9	141.5
After tax Payback (Yrs)	3.0	1.3	0.9	0.7	0.5

Los Ricos North – Sensitivity based on May 2023 Preliminary Economic Assessment

LOS RICOS SOUTH DFS DETAILS

- P&E Mining – Lead consultant, Resource, Reserve & Mining
- Ausenco Engineering – Plant & Infrastructure
- SGS Lakefield – Metallurgical
- WSP – Geotechnical
- CIMA – Environmental
- Paterson & Cooke – Paste backfill testing
- BCG – Tailings geotechnical testing
- BQE – SART design & costing
- Study level of accuracy: +/- 10%
- +75% competitive quotations used in the study




LOS RICOS SOUTH DEVELOPMENT UPDATE

Project progress to date:

1. Underground Mexican mining contractor selected (Cominvi, S.A. de C.V.).
2. Detailed underground mine design and planning advanced.
3. Detailed plant design by M3 Mexicana, S de RL de CV, has advanced to 55% complete.
4. Detailed SART plant design has advanced to 70% complete.
5. Identified suppliers and preparing to order a new SAG grinding mill in the coming weeks.
6. Design of the DSTF (dry stack tailings facilities) has advanced.
7. Contract issued for the detailed design of the Paste Plant.
8. All site geo-tech work to support the design programs has been completed.
9. Supply contract reached with the local utility company, Comision Federal de Electricidad ("CFE"), over project grid power supply from the Yesca Hydro dam. Engineering of the line is in progress with the local electrical company Sistema, and most of the routing will be covered by existing CFE easements/right of ways.
10. Water supply assessments, both surface and ground supplies, are well advanced.


LOS RICOS SOUTH FEASIBILITY – MILL DESIGN

- Conventional whole ore leaching process
- Simplistic flow sheet
- Will produce silver-gold dore bars and copper precipitate
- Water recovery and dry-stacked detoxified tailings
- Dedicated power line from the nearby La Yesca hydroelectric dam




LOS RICOS SOUTH FEASIBILITY – MINING

- Bulk underground sub-level longhole mining
- Ramp access and haulage
- Cemented tailings backfilling of stopes (reduction of surface tailings and environmental impact)
- Hydrology assessment indicates minimal de-watering required (dry mine)
- Contract mining estimated via competitive bidding process
- In-house mine technical services team



LOS RICOS SOUTH FEASIBILITY – ANNUAL PRODUCTION




LOS RICOS NORTH MINERAL RESOURCE

Deposit	Tonnes	Average Grade							Contained Metal						
		Au	Ag	Cu	Pb	Zn	AuEq	AgEq	Au	Ag	Cu	Pb	Zn	AuEq	AgEq
	(Mt)	(g/t)	(g/t)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(g/t)	(g/t)	(koz)	(koz)	(Mlb)	(Mlb)	(Mlb)	(koz)	(koz)
Indicated:															
El Favor	7.7	0.27	98	-	-	-	1.61	119	68	24,413	-	-	-	399	29,454
Casados	3.2	0.42	124	-	-	-	2.09	154	43	12,871	-	-	-	218	16,061
La Trini	3.1	0.54	74	-	-	-	1.54	114	54	7,428	-	-	-	155	11,424
Mololoa	0.4	0.36	130	-	-	-	2.12	157	5	1,788	-	-	-	29	2,161
<i>Silver-Gold Oxide Zone</i>	<i>14.5</i>	<i>0.37</i>	<i>100</i>	<i>-</i>	<i>-</i>	<i>-</i>	<i>1.71</i>	<i>127</i>	<i>171</i>	<i>46,500</i>	<i>-</i>	<i>-</i>	<i>-</i>	<i>801</i>	<i>59,100</i>
El Orito Sulfide Zone ¹	7.8	0.06	28	0.11	0.88	1.33	1.55	114	15	7,011	19	151	229	389	28,708
Total Indicated	22.3						1.66	122	186	53,510				1,190	87,808
Inferred:															
El Favor	12.4	0.27	89	-	-	-	1.47	108	106	35,505	-	-	-	587	43,350
Casados	1.8	0.35	108	-	-	-	1.82	135	21	6,323	-	-	-	106	7,843
La Trini	0.1	0.43	108	-	-	-	1.89	139	1	201	-	-	-	4	260
Mololoa	0.7	0.39	94	-	-	-	1.66	122	9	2,102	-	-	-	37	2,739
<i>Silver-Gold Oxide Zone</i>	<i>15.0</i>	<i>0.28</i>	<i>91</i>	<i>-</i>	<i>-</i>	<i>-</i>	<i>1.52</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>136</i>	<i>44,131</i>	<i>-</i>	<i>-</i>	<i>-</i>	<i>734</i>	<i>54,191</i>
El Orito Sulfide Zone ¹	5.5	0.06	28	0.12	0.74	1.20	1.46	108	11	4,888	15	90	146	258	19,007
Total Inferred	20.5						1.51	111	148	49,019				992	73,198

- El Orito is a silver-base metal sulfide zone, all other deposits are silver-gold oxide zones.
- Mineral Resources which are not Mineral Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability. The estimate of Mineral Resources may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-political, marketing, or other relevant issues.
- The Inferred Mineral Resource in this estimate has a lower level of confidence than that applied to an Indicated Mineral Resource and must not be converted to a Mineral Reserve. It is reasonably expected that the majority of the Inferred Mineral Resource could be upgraded to an Indicated Mineral Resource with continued exploration.
- The Mineral Resources in this news release were estimated in accordance with the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum (CIM), CIM Standards on Mineral Resources and Reserves, Definitions and Guidelines (2014) prepared by the CIM Standing Committee on Reserve Definitions and adopted by the CIM Council and CIM Best Practices (2019).
- Historically mined areas were depleted from the Mineral Resource model.
- Approximately 98.9% of the indicated and 91.3% of the Inferred contained AgEq ounces are pit constrained, with the remainder out-of-pit. See tables 4 and 6 for details of the split between pit constrained and out-of-pit deposits.
- The pit constrained AgEq cut-off grade of 29 g/t Ag was derived from US\$1,550/oz Au price, US\$21/oz Ag price, \$3.66\$/lb Cu, \$0.90 \$/lb Pb, \$1.26 \$/lb Zn, 93% process recovery for Ag and Au, 90% process recovery for Cu, 80% process recovery for Pb and Zn, US\$18/tonne process and G&A cost. The constraining pit optimization parameters were \$2.00/t mineralized mining cost, \$1.50/t waste mining cost and 50-degree pit slopes.
- The out-of-pit AuEq cut-off grade of 119 g/t Ag was derived from US\$1,550/oz Au price, US\$21/oz Ag price, \$3.66\$/lb Cu, \$0.90 \$/lb Pb, \$1.26 \$/lb Zn, 93% process recovery for Ag and Au, 90% process recovery for Cu, 80% process recovery for Pb and Zn, \$57/t mining cost, US\$18/tonne process and G&A cost. The out-of-pit Mineral Resource grade blocks were quantified above the 119 g/t AgEq cut-off, below the constraining pit shell within the constraining mineralized wireframes and exhibited sufficient continuity to be considered for cut and fill and longhole mining.
- No Mineral Resources are classified as Measured.
- AgEq and AuEq calculated at an Ag/Au ratio of 73.8:1.

LOS RICOS NORTH RESOURCE SENSITIVITY



The Cut-off sensitivities chart is only for Pit Constrained Mineral Resources, and does not include the Out-Of-Pit Mineral Resource Estimates of **6.4 AgEq Moz** Inferred and **0.9 AgEq Moz** Indicated at 119 g/t AgEq cut-off grade.

LOS RICOS NORTH PEA - HIGHLIGHTS

After-Tax NPV(5%) of \$413 Million with an After-Tax IRR of 29% (Base Case)

13-year mine life producing a total of 110M AgEq Oz, consisting of 68M Ag Oz, 222K Au Oz, 23M lb Cu, 144M lb Pb, 242M lb Zn

Initial capital costs \$221M over 18 months, including \$29M contingency, expansion capital \$137M, sustaining capital \$5M

Average LOM operating cash costs of \$9.50/oz AgEq, AISC of \$9.68/oz AgEq


Average annual production of 8.8 million AgEq oz in years one through twelve

Approximately 3/4 of LOM production is from oxide, 1/4 sulphide


At \$41 Silver / \$3,580 Gold – After-Tax NPV(5%) of \$1,176M with IRR of 66%

At \$100 Silver / \$5,000 Gold – After-Tax NPV(5%) of \$3.0B with IRR of 142%


LOS RICOS NORTH PEA – ANNUAL PRODUCTION



LRN RESOURCE GRADE DISTRIBUTION



LRN CROSS SECTION




FUTURE GROWTH

Oz AgEq

Production Profile (AgEq)

\$ USD



PARRAL – SART ZINC UPDATE



Commissioning Completed January 2024

Zinc Circuit Benefits:

- Cyanide regeneration, providing significant cost savings
- Increased copper precipitate quality
- Saleable zinc precipitate to be produced
- Cleaner solution flow to increase silver / gold recoveries